

FILED

JUN 09 2006

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAMES CONNELLY,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

CITY OF RENO,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 05-15326

D.C. No. CV-04-00645-HDM

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Nevada
Howard D. McKibben, District Judge, Presiding

June 5, 2006^{**}

Before: CANBY, T.G. NELSON and KLEINFELD, Circuit Judges.

We have received notice of appellant's payment of the docketing and filing fees for this appeal.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-15326

A review of the record and appellant's response to the court's order to show cause indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

AFFIRMED.